

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/998,074	11/29/2001	Erich Drobek	112740-382	4541
29177 75	590 11/07/2003		EXAMINER	
BELL, BOYD & LLOYD, LLC			LE, KAREN L	
P. O. BOX 1135 CHICAGO, IL 60690-1135			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2642	
			DATE MAILED: 11/07/2003	7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/998,074	DROBEK ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
	-	Karen Le	2642			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap					
Period fe	or Reply					
THE - External after of the control	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed  ays will be considered timely.  In the mailing date of this communication.  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	Decrees to communication (s) filed on 20	Nevember 2004				
1)  \[ \]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 November 2001.					
2a)□	<i>,</i> —	nis action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application	n.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.				
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) 🗆	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
	ion Papers					
-	The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10)[_]	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	•				
111	Applicant may not request that any objection to the The proposed drawing correction filed on	•				
''/	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re		Oved by the Examiner.			
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•				
<i>,</i> —	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	Common.				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. & 110/	a)-(d) or (f)			
•	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	in priority under 55 0.5.0. § 115	a)-(a) or (i).			
u),	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
* 5	application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_			
14) 🗌 A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(e) (to a provisional application).			
	)  The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest					
/ لـــا(כו Attachmen	<del>-</del>	io priority under 35 0.3.0. 99 12	V ANU/VI 121.			
	te of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s)			
2) 🔲 Notic	the of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Informal	Patent Application (PTO-152)			
400						

Art Unit: 2642

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Drawing Objection**

The drawings are objected to because there is no label such as "contact center" and "monitoring unit" on each component of drawing. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## **Abstract Missing**

This application does not contain an abstract of the disclosure as required by 37 CFR 1.72(b). An abstract on a separate sheet is required.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C.

Art Unit: 2642

122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claims 1-6, and 8-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Barnes et al. (U. S. 6,594,470).

Regarding claim 1, Barnes teaches a method for mobile monitoring of an interactive service center (Fig. 1, item 102) where, under management of a central installation (Fig. 1, item 108), at least one agent processes inquiries, the method comprising the steps of:

recording data relating to at least one of the processing of the inquiries and the management of the processing (col. 3, lines 13-19);

displaying the recorded data, including displaying the data to a supervisor by a mobile communication unit (Col. 4, lines 20-22); and

monitoring the displayed data by the supervisor (Col. 4, ines 25-27).

Regarding claim 2, Barnes further teaches a method for mobile monitoring of an interactive service center as claimed in claim 1, the method further comprising the step of using the recorded data to create supervisory information which is displayed in the mobile communication unit (col. 4, lines 39-42).

Regarding claim 3, Barnes further teaches the method further comprising the step of transmitting the supervisory information to the mobile communication unit (col. 4, lines 39-42).

Art Unit: 2642

Regarding claim 4, Barnes further teaches transmitting at least a selection of the recorded data to the mobile communication unit; and creating the supervisory information in the mobile communication unit (Fig. 1, items 126 and 118), and creating the supervisory information in the mobile communication unit (Fig. 1, item 118 and Col. 4, lines 56-63).

Regarding claim 5, Barnes further teaches controlling, by the supervisor using the mobile communication unit, at least one of the processing of the inquiries and the management of the processing (Col. 5, lines 18-28).

Regarding claim 6, Barnes further teaches the transmission to the mobile communication unit is wireless (Fig. 1, item 126).

Regarding claim 8, Barnes further teaches the supervisory information is a number of agents available in the contact center (Col. 4, lines 27-28).

Regarding claim 9, Barnes further teaches the transmission to the mobile communication unit is effected upon request (Fig. 2, items 206 and 208).

Regarding claim 10, Barnes further teaches the transmission to the mobile communication unit is effected if at least one of the recorded data and the supervisory information satisfies an appropriate criterion (col. 5, lines 45-52).

Art Unit: 2642

Regarding claim 11, Barnes further teaches an apparatus in an interactive service center, comprising:

at least one terminal for processing inquiries via an agent (Fig. 1, item 130);

a central installation for managing the processing of the inquiries (fig. 1, item 102); a mobile communication monitoring unit (Fig. 1, item 118) for displaying at least one of

a unit (Fig. 1, item 136) for transmitting data for the mobile communication unit (Fig. 1, item 118).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

management data, processing data and data derived therefrom for a supervisor; and

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barnes et al. (U. S. 6,594,470) in view of the admitted prior art (Fig. 2 of this application).

Regarding claim 7, Barnes does not teach the step of displaying, additionally, at least one of the recorded data and the supervisory information to the supervisor by a fixed unit. However, the admitted prior art (Fig. 2) teaches displaying, additionally, at least one of the recorded data and the supervisory information to the supervisor by a fixed unit. Thus, it would have been

Art Unit: 2642

obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to allow a fixed unit of the prior art and Barnes's mobile unit to operate concurrently. Concurrent operation increase efficiency.

#### Conclusion

5. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

Or faxed to:

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to

Crystal Park II, Sixth Floor (Receptionist)

2121 Crystal Drive

Arlington, VA 22202

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karen Le whose telephone number is 703-308-4998. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8:30 A.M. to 5:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ahmad Matar, can be reached on (703) 305-4731. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9314.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-4750.

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 09/998,074

Art Unit: 2642

Karen Le KLL

October, 27 2003

Manual Marta

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600